IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3884 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

---

HARIBHAI V RATHOD

Versus

AHMEDABAD MUNI CORPN

---

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 3884 of 1988

MR KV SHELAT for Petitioner No. 1

MR MG NAGARKAR for Respondent No. 1

---

CORAM : HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

Date of decision: 03/08/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Upon matter being called out, it is jointly submitted that the representation made by the petitioner by sending

a notice dated 20.5.1987, is yet to be examined and, therefore, the same will be considered and decided by the respondent Corporation expeditiously. Learned advocate Mr.Shelat for the petitioner states that a copy of the statutory notice, which is now directed to be treated as a representation regarding promotion and deemed date for the post of Fitter and the incidental benefits, will be sent to the Corporation by registered A.D.Post within a period of one week from today. The respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation, as early as possible, and, preferably not later than by the end of November, 2004, as the petitioner has already completed his superannuation. In view of the aforesaid joint statement and the directions stated hereinabove, the petition shall stand disposed of without any order as to costs. Rule is discharged.

[ J.N.BHATT, J ]

SNS